

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 22		SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4		File No. * SR 2024 - * 28 Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)	
Filing by Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC. Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934					
Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/>		Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/>	
Section 19(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>		Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/>	
Pilot <input type="checkbox"/>		Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/>		Date Expires * <input type="text"/>	
		Rule			
		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)	
Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010 Section 806(e)(1) * <input type="checkbox"/>			Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Section 3C(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>		
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/>			Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/>		
Description Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). <div>Proposal to amend the Exchange's Fee Schedule to amend the exchange grouping of options exchanges within the routing fee table.</div>					
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action. First Name * Tanya Last Name * Kitaigorovski Title * AVP, Associate Counsel E-mail * tkitaigorovski@miaxglobal.com Telephone * (609) 413-5787 Fax					
Signature Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange of 1934, Miami International Securities Exchange, L has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. Date 06/28/2024 (Title *) By Tanya Kitaigorovski AVP, Associate Counsel (Name *) <div>NOTE: Clicking the signature block at right will initiate digitally signing the form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.</div> <div>Tanya Kitaigorovski Date: 2024.06.28 17:33:0400</div>					

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and astericks.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EDFS website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add	Remove	View
SR-MIAX-2024-28 19b-4.docx		

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add	Remove	View
SR-MIAX-2024-28 - Exhibit 1.docx		

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A - Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advanced Notice by Clearing Agencies *

Add	Remove	View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2- Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add	Remove	View

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

☐

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add	Remove	View

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

☐

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add	Remove	View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add	Remove	View
SR-MIAX-2024-28 Exhibit 5 20240627		

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change

Partial Amendment

Add	Remove	View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC (“MIAX” or “Exchange”), pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² proposes to amend the MIAX Fee Schedule (the “Fee Schedule”).

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and a copy of the applicable section of the Fee Schedule is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

(b) Inapplicable.

(c) Inapplicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by the Chief Executive Officer of the Exchange or his designee pursuant to authority delegated by the MIAX Board of Directors on January 19, 2024. Exchange staff will advise the Board of Directors of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action by the Exchange is necessary for the filing of the proposed rule change.

Questions and comments on the proposed rule changes may be directed to Tanya Kitaigorovski, AVP, Associate Counsel, at (609) 413-5787.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. Purpose

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The Exchange proposes to amend the exchange grouping of options exchanges within the routing fee table in Section 1)c) of the Fee Schedule, Fees for Customer Orders Routed to Another Options Exchange to adjust the groupings of options exchanges.

Background

Currently, the Exchange assesses routing fees based upon (i) the origin type of the order; (ii) whether or not it is an order for standard option classes in the Penny Interval Program³ (“Penny classes”) or an order for standard option classes which are not in the Penny Interval Program (“Non-Penny classes”) (or other explicitly identified classes); and (iii) to which away market it is being routed. This assessment practice is identical to the routing fees assessment practice currently utilized by the Exchange’s affiliates, MIAX PEARL, LLC (“MIAX Pearl”) and MIAX Emerald, LLC (“MIAX Emerald”). This is also similar to the methodology utilized by the Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe BZX Options”), a competing options exchange, in assessing routing fees. Cboe BZX Options has exchange groupings in its fee schedule, similar to those of the Exchange, whereby several exchanges are grouped into the same category dependent upon the order’s origin type and whether it is a Penny or Non-Penny class.⁴

As a result of conducting a periodic review of the current transaction fees charged by away markets the Exchange has determined to amend the exchange groupings of options exchanges within the routing fee table to better reflect the associated costs and fees of routing customer orders to certain away markets for execution.

Proposal

³ See Exchange Rule 510(c).

⁴ See Cboe U.S. Options Fee Schedules, BZX Options, effective June 13, 2024, “Fee Codes and Associated Fees,” at https://www.cboe.com/us/options/membership/fee_schedule/bzx/.

The Exchange proposes to amend the table in Section 1)c) of the Exchange's Fee Schedule, Fees for Customer Orders Routed to Another Options Exchange.

Under this proposed change, the Exchange will not amend the fees associated with the exchange groupings. This proposal merely seeks to amend the exchange groupings as described in the routing fee table below.

Description	Fees
Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: NYSE American, Cboe, Cboe EDGX Options, Nasdaq PHLX (except SPY), Nasdaq MRX	\$0.15
Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: BOX	\$0.30
Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: NYSE Arca Options, Cboe BZX Options, Cboe C2, Nasdaq GEMX, Nasdaq ISE, NOM, Nasdaq PHLX (SPY only), MIAX Emerald, MIAX Pearl, Nasdaq BX Options, MEMX	\$0.65
Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE American, BOX, Cboe, Cboe EDGX Options, Nasdaq PHLX, Nasdaq MRX	\$0.15
Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE Arca Options, Cboe BZX Options, Cboe C2, MIAX Pearl, MIAX Emerald, Nasdaq GEMX, NOM, Nasdaq BX Options, Nasdaq ISE, MEMX	\$1.00
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: NYSE American, NYSE Arca Options, Cboe BZX Options, BOX, Cboe, Cboe C2, Cboe EDGX Options, Nasdaq GEMX, Nasdaq ISE, Nasdaq MRX, MIAX Pearl, MIAX Emerald, NOM, Nasdaq PHLX, Nasdaq BX Options, MEMX	\$0.65
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE American, Cboe, Nasdaq PHLX, Cboe EDGX Options, NOM	\$1.00
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: Cboe C2, BOX	\$1.15
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE Arca Options, Nasdaq GEMX, Nasdaq MRX, MIAX Pearl, MIAX Emerald, MEMX	\$1.25
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: Cboe BZX Options, Nasdaq ISE, Nasdaq BX Options	\$1.40

Nasdaq MRX

Nasdaq MRX recently amended its fee structure to “no longer offer Maker Rebates for adding liquidity and instead offer Taker Rebates for removing liquidity. With this new structure, the Exchange [Nasdaq MRX] would continue to assess Priority Customers no Maker Fees for Penny and Non-Penny Symbols to continue to encourage Members to send Priority Customer order flow that adds liquidity to MRX and rests on the order book. The Exchange proposes to begin offering Priority Customer Taker Rebates in Penny and Non-Penny Symbols . . .”⁵ In response to Nasdaq MRX’s filing, the Exchange proposes to adjust the grouping of Nasdaq MRX in both the Penny and Non-Penny tiers in the Exchange’s routing fee table.

Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend the “Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program” \$0.15 fee tier to remove the “SPY only” qualification for orders routed to Nasdaq MRX, so that all Priority Customer orders for Penny Program symbols routed to Nasdaq MRX will be assessed the same \$0.15 fee. The Exchange also proposes to amend the “Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program” \$0.30 fee tier to remove Nasdaq MRX from the tier completely, as all Priority Customer orders in the Penny Program are now eligible for the \$0.15 tier under this proposal. The Exchange also proposes to amend the “Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program” \$0.15 tier to add Nasdaq MRX. Finally, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the “Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program” \$0.50 tier in its entirety as Nasdaq MRX was the only destination exchange in this tier, and given Nasdaq MRX’s recent fee schedule change this tier is now obsolete.

⁵ See Nasdaq MRX proposal (SR-MRX-2024-16).

BOX

The Exchange proposes to remove “BOX (except SPY)” from the “Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program” \$0.15 tier. The Exchange also proposes to amend the “Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program” \$0.30 tier to remove the qualification of “SPY only” so that all Priority Customer orders for Penny Program symbols routed to BOX will similarly be assessed a \$0.30 fee. This change is being made as BOX recently amended its fee schedule and now assesses a \$0.10 Taker fee for Public Customer orders that remove liquidity in SPY, QQQ, and IWM.⁶

The purpose of the proposal is to adjust the routing fee groups for orders routed to other exchanges to better reflect the associated costs for that routed execution in Penny and Non-Penny Classes as determined by the fees and rebates at the executing exchange. In determining to amend its groupings the Exchange took into account transaction fees assessed by the away market to which the Exchange routes orders, as well as the Exchange’s clearing costs, administrative, regulatory, and technical costs associated with routing orders to an away market. The Exchange uses unaffiliated routing brokers to route orders to the away markets; the costs associated with the use of these services are included in the routing fees specified in the Fee Schedule. This routing fee structure is not only similar to the Exchange’s affiliates, MIAX Pearl and MIAX Emerald, but is also comparable to the structure in place on at least one other competing options exchange, Cboe BZX Options.⁷ The Exchange’s routing fee structure approximates the Exchange’s costs associated with routing orders to away markets. The per-

⁶ See BOX Exchange Fee Schedule, Section IV, Electronic Transaction Fees, A, Non-Auction Transactions.

⁷ See supra note 4. The Cboe BZX Options fee schedule has exchange groupings, whereby several exchanges are grouped into the same category, dependent on the order’s Origin type and whether it is a Penny or Non-Penny class.

contract transaction fee amount associated with each grouping closely approximates the Exchange's all-in cost (plus an additional, non-material amount)⁸ to execute that corresponding contract at that corresponding exchange.

The Exchange notes that in determining whether to adjust certain groupings of options exchanges in the routing fee table, the Exchange considered the transaction fees assessed by away markets, and determined to amend the grouping of exchanges that assess transaction fees for routed orders within a similar range. This same logic and structure applies to all of the groupings in the routing fee table. By utilizing the same structure that is utilized by the Exchange's affiliates, MIAX Pearl and MIAX Emerald, the Exchange's Members⁹ will be assessed routing fees in a similar manner. The Exchange notes that its affiliates, MIAX Pearl and MIAX Emerald, will file to make the same proposed routing fee changes contained herein.

Implementation

The proposed rule changes will become effective on July 1, 2024.

b. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Fee Schedule is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹⁰ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act¹¹ in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange also believes the

⁸ This amount is to cover de minimis differences/changes to away market fees (i.e., minor increases or decreases) that would not necessitate a fee filing by the Exchange to re-categorize the away exchange into a different grouping. Routing fees are not intended to be a profit center for the Exchange and the Exchange's goal regarding routing fees and expenses is to be as close as possible to net neutral.

⁹ The term "Member" means an individual or organization approved to exercise the trading rights associated with a Trading Permit. Members are deemed "members" under the Exchange Act. See Exchange Rule 100.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

proposal furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹² in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers and dealers.

The Exchange believes that the proposed changes to the exchange groupings of options exchanges within the routing fee table furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act and is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the proposed change will continue to apply in the same manner to all Members that are subject to routing fees. The Exchange believes the proposed changes to the routing fee table exchange groupings furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act and is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade and is not unfairly discriminatory because the proposed changes seek to recoup costs that are incurred by the Exchange when routing Priority and Public Customer Orders to away markets on behalf of Members and does so in the same manner for all Members that are subject to routing fees. The costs to the Exchange to route orders to away markets for execution primarily includes transaction fees assessed by the away markets to which the Exchange routes orders, in addition to the Exchange's clearing costs, administrative, regulatory and technical costs. The Exchange believes that the proposed re-categorization of certain exchange groupings would enable the Exchange to better reflect the costs and fees associated with routing orders to other exchanges for execution.

The Exchange places away markets in the fee tier grouping that best approximates the Exchange's costs and fees to route the orders in that segment to that away market. The per-

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

contract transaction fee amount associated with each grouping approximates the Exchange's all-in cost (plus an additional, non-material amount)¹³ to execute the corresponding contract at the corresponding exchange. The Exchange believes its tier structure represents the best approach to reflect the costs and fees associated with routing and executing orders on other exchanges.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange's proposed re-categorization of certain exchange groupings is intended to enable the Exchange to recover the costs it incurs to route orders to away markets. The costs to the Exchange to route orders to away markets for execution primarily includes the transaction fees assessed by the away markets to which the Exchange routes orders, in addition to the Exchange's clearing costs, administrative, regulatory and technical costs. The Exchange does not believe that this proposal imposes any unnecessary burden on competition because it seeks to better reflect the costs and fees incurred by the Exchange when routing orders to away markets on behalf of Members and notes that at least one other options exchange has a similar routing fee structure.¹⁴

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

¹³ See supra note 8.

¹⁴ See supra note 4.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,¹⁵ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder¹⁶ the Exchange has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

The proposed rule change is not based on the rules of another exchange or of the Commission.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

1. Completed notice of proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register.
5. Copy of the applicable section of the Fee Schedule.

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-MIAX-2024-28)

June____, 2024

Self-Regulatory Organizations: Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change by Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC to Amend Its Fee Schedule

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June____, 2024, Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC (“MIAX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing a proposal to amend the MIAX Fee Schedule (“Fee Schedule”).

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/all-options-exchanges/rule-filings>, at MIAX’s principal office, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the exchange grouping of options exchanges within the routing fee table in Section 1)c) of the Fee Schedule, Fees for Customer Orders Routed to Another Options Exchange to adjust the groupings of options exchanges.

Background

Currently, the Exchange assesses routing fees based upon (i) the origin type of the order; (ii) whether or not it is an order for standard option classes in the Penny Interval Program³ (“Penny classes”) or an order for standard option classes which are not in the Penny Interval Program (“Non-Penny classes”) (or other explicitly identified classes); and (iii) to which away market it is being routed. This assessment practice is identical to the routing fees assessment practice currently utilized by the Exchange’s affiliates, MIAX PEARL, LLC (“MIAX Pearl”) and MIAX Emerald, LLC (“MIAX Emerald”). This is also similar to the methodology utilized by the Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe BZX Options”), a competing options exchange, in assessing routing fees. Cboe BZX Options has exchange groupings in its fee schedule, similar to those of the Exchange, whereby several exchanges are grouped into the same category dependent upon the order’s origin type and whether it is a Penny or Non-Penny class.⁴

As a result of conducting a periodic review of the current transaction fees charged by away markets the Exchange has determined to amend the exchange groupings of options

³ See Exchange Rule 510(c).

⁴ See Cboe U.S. Options Fee Schedules, BZX Options, effective June 13, 2024, “Fee Codes and Associated Fees,” at https://www.cboe.com/us/options/membership/fee_schedule/bzx/.

exchanges within the routing fee table to better reflect the associated costs and fees of routing customer orders to certain away markets for execution.

Proposal

The Exchange proposes to amend the table in Section 1)c) of the Exchange's Fee Schedule, Fees for Customer Orders Routed to Another Options Exchange.

Under this proposed change, the Exchange will not amend the fees associated with the exchange groupings. This proposal merely seeks to amend the exchange groupings as described in the routing fee table below.

Description	Fees
Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: NYSE American, Cboe, Cboe EDGX Options, Nasdaq PHLX (except SPY), Nasdaq MRX	\$0.15
Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: BOX	\$0.30
Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: NYSE Arca Options, Cboe BZX Options, Cboe C2, Nasdaq GEMX, Nasdaq ISE, NOM, Nasdaq PHLX (SPY only), MIAX Emerald, MIAX Pearl, Nasdaq BX Options, MEMX	\$0.65
Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE American, BOX, Cboe, Cboe EDGX Options, Nasdaq PHLX, Nasdaq MRX	\$0.15
Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE Arca Options, Cboe BZX Options, Cboe C2, MIAX Pearl, MIAX Emerald, Nasdaq GEMX, NOM, Nasdaq BX Options, Nasdaq ISE, MEMX	\$1.00
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: NYSE American, NYSE Arca Options, Cboe BZX Options, BOX, Cboe, Cboe C2, Cboe EDGX Options, Nasdaq GEMX, Nasdaq ISE, Nasdaq MRX, MIAX Pearl, MIAX Emerald, NOM, Nasdaq PHLX, Nasdaq BX Options, MEMX	\$0.65
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE American, Cboe, Nasdaq PHLX, Cboe EDGX Options, NOM	\$1.00
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: Cboe C2, BOX	\$1.15
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE Arca Options, Nasdaq GEMX, Nasdaq MRX, MIAX Pearl, MIAX Emerald, MEMX	\$1.25
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: Cboe BZX Options, Nasdaq ISE, Nasdaq BX Options	\$1.40

Nasdaq MRX

Nasdaq MRX recently amended its fee structure to “no longer offer Maker Rebates for adding liquidity and instead offer Taker Rebates for removing liquidity. With this new structure, the Exchange [Nasdaq MRX] would continue to assess Priority Customers no Maker Fees for Penny and Non-Penny Symbols to continue to encourage Members to send Priority Customer order flow that adds liquidity to MRX and rests on the order book. The Exchange proposes to begin offering Priority Customer Taker Rebates in Penny and Non-Penny Symbols . . .”⁵ In response to Nasdaq MRX’s filing, the Exchange proposes to adjust the grouping of Nasdaq MRX in both the Penny and Non-Penny tiers in the Exchange’s routing fee table.

Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend the “Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program” \$0.15 fee tier to remove the “SPY only” qualification for orders routed to Nasdaq MRX, so that all Priority Customer orders for Penny Program symbols routed to Nasdaq MRX will be assessed the same \$0.15 fee. The Exchange also proposes to amend the “Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program” \$0.30 fee tier to remove Nasdaq MRX from the tier completely, as all Priority Customer orders in the Penny Program are now eligible for the \$0.15 tier under this proposal. The Exchange also proposes to amend the “Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program” \$0.15 tier to add Nasdaq MRX. Finally, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the “Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program” \$0.50 tier in its entirety as Nasdaq MRX was the only destination exchange in this tier, and given Nasdaq MRX’s recent fee schedule change this tier is now obsolete.

⁵ See Nasdaq MRX proposal (SR-MRX-2024-16).

BOX

The Exchange proposes to remove “BOX (except SPY)” from the “Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program” \$0.15 tier. The Exchange also proposes to amend the “Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program” \$0.30 tier to remove the qualification of “SPY only” so that all Priority Customer orders for Penny Program symbols routed to BOX will similarly be assessed a \$0.30 fee. This change is being made as BOX recently amended its fee schedule and now assesses a \$0.10 Taker fee for Public Customer orders that remove liquidity in SPY, QQQ, and IWM.⁶

The purpose of the proposal is to adjust the routing fee groups for orders routed to other exchanges to better reflect the associated costs for that routed execution in Penny and Non-Penny Classes as determined by the fees and rebates at the executing exchange. In determining to amend its groupings the Exchange took into account transaction fees assessed by the away market to which the Exchange routes orders, as well as the Exchange’s clearing costs, administrative, regulatory, and technical costs associated with routing orders to an away market. The Exchange uses unaffiliated routing brokers to route orders to the away markets; the costs associated with the use of these services are included in the routing fees specified in the Fee Schedule. This routing fee structure is not only similar to the Exchange’s affiliates, MIAX Pearl and MIAX Emerald, but is also comparable to the structure in place on at least one other competing options exchange, Cboe BZX Options.⁷ The Exchange’s routing fee structure approximates the Exchange’s costs associated with routing orders to away markets. The per-contract transaction fee amount associated with each grouping closely approximates the

⁶ See BOX Exchange Fee Schedule, Section IV, Electronic Transaction Fees, A, Non-Auction Transactions.

⁷ See supra note 4. The Cboe BZX Options fee schedule has exchange groupings, whereby several exchanges are grouped into the same category, dependent on the order’s Origin type and whether it is a Penny or Non-Penny class.

Exchange's all-in cost (plus an additional, non-material amount)⁸ to execute that corresponding contract at that corresponding exchange.

The Exchange notes that in determining whether to adjust certain groupings of options exchanges in the routing fee table, the Exchange considered the transaction fees assessed by away markets, and determined to amend the grouping of exchanges that assess transaction fees for routed orders within a similar range. This same logic and structure applies to all of the groupings in the routing fee table. By utilizing the same structure that is utilized by the Exchange's affiliates, MIAX Pearl and MIAX Emerald, the Exchange's Members⁹ will be assessed routing fees in a similar manner. The Exchange notes that its affiliates, MIAX Pearl and MIAX Emerald, will file to make the same proposed routing fee changes contained herein.

Implementation

The proposed rule changes will become effective on July 1, 2024.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Fee Schedule is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹⁰ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act¹¹ in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange also believes the proposal furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹² in that it is designed to promote

⁸ This amount is to cover de minimis differences/changes to away market fees (i.e., minor increases or decreases) that would not necessitate a fee filing by the Exchange to re-categorize the away exchange into a different grouping. Routing fees are not intended to be a profit center for the Exchange and the Exchange's goal regarding routing fees and expenses is to be as close as possible to net neutral.

⁹ The term "Member" means an individual or organization approved to exercise the trading rights associated with a Trading Permit. Members are deemed "members" under the Exchange Act. See Exchange Rule 100.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers and dealers.

The Exchange believes that the proposed changes to the exchange groupings of options exchanges within the routing fee table furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act and is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the proposed change will continue to apply in the same manner to all Members that are subject to routing fees. The Exchange believes the proposed changes to the routing fee table exchange groupings furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act and is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade and is not unfairly discriminatory because the proposed changes seek to recoup costs that are incurred by the Exchange when routing Priority and Public Customer Orders to away markets on behalf of Members and does so in the same manner for all Members that are subject to routing fees. The costs to the Exchange to route orders to away markets for execution primarily includes transaction fees assessed by the away markets to which the Exchange routes orders, in addition to the Exchange's clearing costs, administrative, regulatory and technical costs. The Exchange believes that the proposed re-categorization of certain exchange groupings would enable the Exchange to better reflect the costs and fees associated with routing orders to other exchanges for execution.

The Exchange places away markets in the fee tier grouping that best approximates the Exchange's costs and fees to route the orders in that segment to that away market. The per-contract transaction fee amount associated with each grouping approximates the Exchange's all-

in cost (plus an additional, non-material amount)¹³ to execute the corresponding contract at the corresponding exchange. The Exchange believes its tier structure represents the best approach to reflect the costs and fees associated with routing and executing orders on other exchanges.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange's proposed re-categorization of certain exchange groupings is intended to enable the Exchange to recover the costs it incurs to route orders to away markets. The costs to the Exchange to route orders to away markets for execution primarily includes the transaction fees assessed by the away markets to which the Exchange routes orders, in addition to the Exchange's clearing costs, administrative, regulatory and technical costs. The Exchange does not believe that this proposal imposes any unnecessary burden on competition because it seeks to better reflect the costs and fees incurred by the Exchange when routing orders to away markets on behalf of Members and notes that at least one other options exchange has a similar routing fee structure.¹⁴

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,¹⁵ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)¹⁶ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the

¹³ See supra note 8.

¹⁴ See supra note 4.

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act.

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>);
- or
- Send an e-mail [to rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:to-rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-MIAX-2024-28 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Vanessa Countryman, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-MIAX-2024-28. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those

that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-MIAX-2024-28 and should be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 21 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Exhibit 5New text is underlined;

Deleted text is in [brackets]

MIAX Options Exchange Fee Schedule

* * * * *

1) Transaction Fees**a) – b) No change.****c) Fees for Customer Orders Routed to Another Options Exchange**

MIAX will assess a Routing Fee to market participants on all orders routed to and executed on an away market as set forth in the table below.

Description	Fees
Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: NYSE American, [BOX (except SPY),] Cboe, Cboe EDGX Options, Nasdaq PHLX (except SPY), Nasdaq MRX [(SPY only)]	\$0.15
Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: [Nasdaq MRX (except SPY),] BOX [(SPY only)]	\$0.30
Routed, Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: NYSE Arca Options, Cboe BZX Options, Cboe C2, Nasdaq GEMX, Nasdaq ISE, NOM, Nasdaq PHLX (SPY only), MIAX Emerald, MIAX Pearl, Nasdaq BX Options, MEMX	\$0.65
Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE American, BOX, Cboe, Cboe EDGX Options, Nasdaq PHLX, <u>Nasdaq MRX</u>	\$0.15
[Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: Nasdaq MRX]	[\$0.50]
Routed, Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE Arca Options, Cboe BZX Options, Cboe C2, MIAX Pearl, MIAX Emerald, Nasdaq GEMX, NOM, Nasdaq BX Options, Nasdaq ISE, MEMX	\$1.00
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Penny Program, to: NYSE American, NYSE Arca Options, Cboe BZX Options, BOX, Cboe, Cboe C2, Cboe EDGX Options, Nasdaq GEMX, Nasdaq ISE, Nasdaq MRX, MIAX Pearl, MIAX Emerald, NOM, Nasdaq PHLX, Nasdaq BX Options, MEMX	\$0.65
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE American, Cboe, Nasdaq PHLX, Cboe EDGX Options, NOM	\$1.00
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: Cboe C2, BOX	\$1.15
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: NYSE Arca Options, Nasdaq GEMX, Nasdaq MRX, MIAX Pearl, MIAX Emerald, MEMX	\$1.25
Routed, Public Customer that is not a Priority Customer, Non-Penny Program, to: Cboe BZX Options, Nasdaq ISE, Nasdaq BX Options	\$1.40

* * * * *