

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0045
 Estimated average burden
 hours per response.....38

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Filing by Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC.
 Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

| | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/> | Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/> | Section 19(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/> | Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | Rule | | |
| Pilot <input type="checkbox"/> | Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/> | Date Expires * <input type="text"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4) | |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5) | |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6) | |

| | |
|---|---|
| Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010 | Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 |
| Section 806(e)(1) * <input type="checkbox"/> | Section 806(e)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Section 3C(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/> | |

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document

Description

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

Proposed rule change relating to the Limit Up-Limit Down/Obvious Error pilot extension.

Contact Information

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name * Richard Last Name * Rudolph
 Title * Vice President and Senior Counsel
 E-mail * rrudolph@miami-holdings.com
 Telephone * (609) 897-1484 Fax

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

(Title *)
 Vice President and Senior Counsel

Date 10/20/2015

By Richard S. Rudolph
 (Name *)

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

Persona Not Validated - 1427205277040,

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

Partial Amendment

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of Proposed Rule Change

(a) Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC (“MIAX” or “Exchange”), pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² proposes to amend (i) Exchange Rule 530 (Limit Up-Limit Down) to extend the pilot period for the treatment of erroneous transactions during a Limit or Straddle State and (ii) Interpretations and Policies .01 to Rule 521 (Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions Including Obvious Errors) to clarify that the pilot period during which an execution will not be subject to review as an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error will coincide with the pilot period for the LULD Plan (as defined below).

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and a copy of the proposed amended rule text is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by the Chief Executive Officer of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the MIAX Board of Directors on December 11, 2014.

Exchange staff will advise the Board of Directors of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action by the Exchange is necessary for the filing of the proposed rule change.

Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to Richard S. Rudolph, Vice President and Senior Counsel, at (609) 897-1484.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 530 (Limit Up-Limit Down) in order to extend the pilot period for the treatment of erroneous transactions that occur in a Limit or Straddle State to coincide with the proposed extension of the pilot period for the LULD Plan (as defined below), including any extensions to the pilot period for the LULD Plan.

Exchange Rule 530(j) provides for the treatment of erroneous transactions occurring during Limit and Straddle States. Specifically, once an NMS Stock has entered a Limit or Straddle State, the Exchange will nullify a transaction in an option overlying such an NMS Stock as provided in Rule 530(j). This provision was adopted for a one year pilot period beginning on the date of the implementation of the Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS, April 8, 2013 (the "LULD Plan").³ The Exchange previously extended the pilot period for Rule 530(j) until October 23, 2015.⁴ The Exchange now proposes to extend the pilot period for Rule 530(j) to coincide with the proposed extension of the pilot period for the LULD Plan, including any extensions to the pilot period for the LULD Plan, in order to allow the Exchange and the Commission additional time to collect and analyze data regarding the impact of Rule 530(j) on liquidity and market quality in the options markets.⁵

³ See Exchange Rule 503(j). See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 69210 (March 22, 2013), 78 FR 18637 (March 27, 2013) (SR-MIAX-2013-12); 69342 (April 8, 2013), 78 FR 22017 (April 12, 2013) (SR-MIAX-2013-12); 69234 (March 25, 2013), 78 FR 19344 (March 29, 2013) (SR-MIAX-2013-15); 69354 (April 9, 2013), 78 FR 22357 (April 15, 2013) (SR-MIAX-2013-15).

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 74307 (February 19, 2015), 80 FR 10196 (February 25, 2015) (SR-MIAX-2015-11).

⁵ Currently the pilot period for the LULD Plan is proposed to be extended until April 22, 2016. See Joint Industry Plan; Notice of Filing of the Ninth Amendment to the National Market System Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility by BATS Exchange,

To assist the Commission in its analysis, the Exchange will provide the Commission and the public with data and analysis during the duration of the pilot in order to evaluate the impact of Limit and Straddle States on liquidity and market quality in the options markets. Specifically, on a date not later than five (5) months prior to the pilot expiration date, including any extensions of the pilot period, the Exchange represents that it shall provide the Commission and the public assessments relating to the impact of the obvious error Rules during Limit and Straddle States that (i) evaluate the statistical and economic impact of Limit and Straddle States on liquidity and market quality in the options markets; and (ii) assess whether the lack of obvious error rules in effect during the Straddle and Limit States are problematic. If the LULD Plan extension is approved the next data assessment will be due no later than December 18, 2015. Additionally, each month during the pilot period the Exchange shall provide to the Commission and the public a dataset containing the data for each Straddle and Limit State in optionable stocks. For each stock that reaches a Straddle or Limit State, the number of options included in the dataset can be reduced by selecting options in which at least one (1) trade occurred on the Exchange during the Straddle or Limit State. For each of those options affected, each data record should contain the following information: (i) Stock symbol, option symbol, time at the start of the straddle or limit state, an indicator for whether it is a straddle or limit state; and (ii) for activity on the exchange – (A) executed volume, time-weighted quoted bid-ask spread, time-weighted average quoted depth at the bid, time-weighted average quoted depth at the offer, (B) high execution price, low execution price, (C) number of trades for which a request for review for error was received

Inc., BATS Y-Exchange, Inc., Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., EDGA Exchange, Inc., EDGX Exchange, Inc., Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc., NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, National Stock Exchange, Inc., New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE MKT LLC, and NYSE Arca, Inc., Securities Exchange Act Release No. 75917 (September 14, 2015), 80 FR 56515 (September 18, 2015).

during Straddle and Limit States, (D) an indicator variable for whether those options outlined above have a price change exceeding 30% during the underlying stock's Limit or Straddle state compared to the last available option price as reported by OPRA before the start of the Limit or Straddle state (1 if observe 30% and 0 otherwise) and another indicator variable for whether the option price within five minutes of the underlying stock leaving the Limit or Straddle state (or halt if applicable) is 30% away from the price before the start of the Limit or Straddle state.

The Exchange also proposes to amend Interpretations and Policies .01 to Rule 521 (Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions Including Obvious Errors) to take out the actual end date of the pilot period during which an execution will not be subject to review as an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error pursuant to paragraph (c) or (d) of Rule 521 if it occurred while the underlying security was in a "Limit State" or "Straddle State," as defined in the LULD Plan, and instead clarify that the pilot period will coincide with the pilot period for the LULD Plan, including any extensions to the pilot period for the LULD Plan.

b. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)⁶ of the Act in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5)⁷ of the Act in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, the proposal supports the objectives of perfecting the mechanism of a free and open market and the national market system because it promotes uniformity across

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

markets concerning when and how to halt trading in all stock options as a result of extraordinary market volatility. In addition, the Exchange believes that the extension of the pilot to coincide with the pilot period for the LULD Plan will help ensure that market participants continue to benefit from the protections of the Limit Up-Limit Down Rules which will protect investors and the public interest while allowing the Exchange and the Commission additional time to collect and analyze data regarding the impact of Rules on liquidity and market quality in the options markets.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed changes are being made to extend the pilot program that provides for how the Exchange shall treat orders and quotes in options overlying NMS stocks when the LULD Plan is in effect and will not impose any burden on competition while providing certainty of treatment and execution of options orders during periods of extraordinary volatility in the underlying NMS stock, and facilitating appropriate liquidity during a Limit State or Straddle State.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not Applicable

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁸ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)⁹ thereunder,

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

MIAX has designated this proposal as one that effects a change that: (i) does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) by its terms, does not become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes this proposed rule change is non-controversial because it would permit the Exchange to continue the pilot program, which does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest and does not impose any significant burden on competition. The extension of the pilot program, will ensure that trading in options that overlay NMS Stocks continues to be appropriately modified to reflect market conditions that occur during a Limit State or a Straddle State in a manner that promotes just and equitable principles of trade and removes impediments to, and perfects the mechanism of, a free and open market and a national market system. In addition, the Exchange believes that extending the pilot program would allow the Exchange additional time to collect and evaluate data. Finally, the Exchange believes that extending the time for the Exchange to provide its overall assessment of the pilot program to a date not later than five (5) months prior to the expiration of the pilot period, including any extensions of the pilot period, is appropriate and in the public interest, for the protection of investors, and the maintenance of a fair and orderly market because it will align the timing of such assessments with the corresponding Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS. Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter

⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

time as designated by the Commission. Furthermore, a proposed rule change filed pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6) under the Act normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of its filing. However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange respectfully requests that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay. Waiver of the operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because it will ensure that the pilot program continues without interruption to the benefit of market participants and the protection of investors and the public interest by allowing the Exchange to extend the pilot program prior to its expiration.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

This proposed rule change is not based on the rules of another exchange.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

1. Completed notice of proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register.
5. Text of the proposed rule change.

EXHIBIT 1SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-MIAX-2015-60)

October __, 2015

Self-Regulatory Organizations: Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change by Miami International Securities Exchange LLC to Extend the Pilot Period Applicable to Rule 521 and Rule 530 Relating to Limit Up/Limit Down

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on October 20, 2015, Miami International Securities Exchange LLC (“MIAX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing a proposal to amend (i) Exchange Rule 530 (Limit Up-Limit Down) to extend the pilot period for the treatment of erroneous transactions during a Limit or Straddle State and (ii) Interpretations and Policies .01 to Rule 521 (Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions Including Obvious Errors) to clarify that the pilot period during which an execution will not be subject to review as an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error will coincide with the pilot period for the LULD Plan (as defined below).

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at http://www.miaxoptions.com/filter/wotitle/rule_filing, at MIAX’s principal office, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 530 (Limit Up-Limit Down) in order to extend the pilot period for the treatment of erroneous transactions that occur in a Limit or Straddle State to coincide with the proposed extension of the pilot period for the LULD Plan (as defined below), including any extensions to the pilot period for the LULD Plan.

Exchange Rule 530(j) provides for the treatment of erroneous transactions occurring during Limit and Straddle States. Specifically, once an NMS Stock has entered a Limit or Straddle State, the Exchange will nullify a transaction in an option overlying such an NMS Stock as provided in Rule 530(j). This provision was adopted for a one year pilot period beginning on the date of the implementation of the Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS, April 8, 2013 (the "LULD Plan").³ The Exchange previously

³ See Exchange Rule 503(j). See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 69210 (March 22, 2013), 78 FR 18637 (March 27, 2013) (SR-MIAX-2013-12); 69342 (April 8, 2013), 78 FR 22017 (April 12, 2013) (SR-MIAX-2013-12); 69234 (March 25, 2013), 78 FR 19344 (March 29, 2013) (SR-MIAX-2013-15); 69354 (April 9, 2013), 78 FR 22357 (April 15, 2013) (SR-MIAX-2013-15).

extended the pilot period for Rule 530(j) until October 23, 2015.⁴ The Exchange now proposes to extend the pilot period for Rule 530(j) to coincide with the proposed extension of the pilot period for the LULD Plan, including any extensions to the pilot period for the LULD Plan, in order to allow the Exchange and the Commission additional time to collect and analyze data regarding the impact of Rule 530(j) on liquidity and market quality in the options markets.⁵

To assist the Commission in its analysis, the Exchange will provide the Commission and the public with data and analysis during the duration of the pilot in order to evaluate the impact of Limit and Straddle States on liquidity and market quality in the options markets. Specifically, on a date not later than five (5) months prior to the pilot expiration date, including any extensions of the pilot period, the Exchange represents that it shall provide the Commission and the public assessments relating to the impact of the obvious error Rules during Limit and Straddle States that (i) evaluate the statistical and economic impact of Limit and Straddle States on liquidity and market quality in the options markets; and (ii) assess whether the lack of obvious error rules in effect during the Straddle and Limit States are problematic. If the LULD Plan extension is approved the next data assessment will be due no later than December 18, 2015. Additionally, each month during the pilot period the Exchange shall provide to the Commission and the public a dataset containing the data for each Straddle and Limit State in optionable stocks. For each stock that reaches a Straddle or Limit State, the number of options included in the dataset can be

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 74307 (February 19, 2015), 80 FR 10196 (February 25, 2015) (SR-MIAX-2015-11).

⁵ Currently the pilot period for the LULD Plan is proposed to be extended until April 22, 2016. See Joint Industry Plan; Notice of Filing of the Ninth Amendment to the National Market System Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility by BATS Exchange, Inc., BATS Y-Exchange, Inc., Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc., EDGA Exchange, Inc., EDGX Exchange, Inc., Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc., NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, National Stock Exchange, Inc., New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE MKT LLC, and NYSE Arca, Inc., Securities Exchange Act Release No. 75917 (September 14, 2015), 80 FR 56515 (September 18, 2015).

reduced by selecting options in which at least one (1) trade occurred on the Exchange during the Straddle or Limit State. For each of those options affected, each data record should contain the following information: (i) Stock symbol, option symbol, time at the start of the straddle or limit state, an indicator for whether it is a straddle or limit state; and (ii) for activity on the exchange – (A) executed volume, time-weighted quoted bid-ask spread, time-weighted average quoted depth at the bid, time-weighted average quoted depth at the offer, (B) high execution price, low execution price, (C) number of trades for which a request for review for error was received during Straddle and Limit States, (D) an indicator variable for whether those options outlined above have a price change exceeding 30% during the underlying stock’s Limit or Straddle state compared to the last available option price as reported by OPRA before the start of the Limit or Straddle state (1 if observe 30% and 0 otherwise) and another indicator variable for whether the option price within five minutes of the underlying stock leaving the Limit or Straddle state (or halt if applicable) is 30% away from the price before the start of the Limit or Straddle state.

The Exchange also proposes to amend Interpretations and Policies .01 to Rule 521 (Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions Including Obvious Errors) to take out the actual end date of the pilot period during which an execution will not be subject to review as an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error pursuant to paragraph (c) or (d) of Rule 521 if it occurred while the underlying security was in a “Limit State” or “Straddle State,” as defined in the LULD Plan, and instead clarify that the pilot period will coincide with the pilot period for the LULD Plan, including any extensions to the pilot period for the LULD Plan.

2. Statutory Basis

MIAX believes that its proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act⁶ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁷ in particular, in that it is

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, the proposal supports the objectives of perfecting the mechanism of a free and open market and the national market system because it promotes uniformity across markets concerning when and how to halt trading in all stock options as a result of extraordinary market volatility. In addition, the Exchange believes that the extension of the pilot to coincide with the pilot period for the LULD Plan will help ensure that market participants continue to benefit from the protections of the Limit Up-Limit Down Rules which will protect investors and the public interest while allowing the Exchange and the Commission additional time to collect and analyze data regarding the impact of Rules on liquidity and market quality in the options markets.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed changes are being made to extend the pilot program that provides for how the Exchange shall treat orders and quotes in options overlying NMS stocks when the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is in effect and will not impose any burden on competition while providing certainty of treatment and execution of options orders during periods of extraordinary volatility in the underlying NMS stock, and facilitating appropriate liquidity during a Limit State or Straddle State.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁸ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)⁹ thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act.

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>);
- or
- Send an e-mail [to rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-MIAX-2015-60 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MIAX-2015-60. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MIAX-2015-60 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register]. For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁰

Brent J. Fields
Secretary

¹⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

EXHIBIT 5

New text is underlined;
Deleted text is in [brackets]

MIAMI INTERNATIONAL SECURITIES EXCHANGE, LLC Rules

Rule 521. Nullification and Adjustment of Options Transactions Including Obvious Errors

The Exchange may nullify a transaction or adjust the execution price of a transaction in accordance with this Rule. However, the determination as to whether a trade was executed at an erroneous price may be made by mutual agreement of the affected parties to a particular transaction. A trade may be nullified or adjusted on the terms that all parties to a particular transaction agree, provided, however, that such agreement to nullify or adjust must be conveyed to the Exchange in a manner prescribed by the Exchange prior to 8:30 a.m. Eastern Time on the first trading day following the execution. It is considered conduct inconsistent with just and equitable principles of trade for any Member to use the mutual adjustment process to circumvent any applicable Exchange rule, the Act or any of the rules and regulations thereunder.

(a)-(1) No Change.

Interpretations and Policies:

.01 **Limit Up-Limit Down State.** During a pilot period to coincide with the pilot period for the Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Limit Up-Limit Down Plan"), including any extensions to the pilot period for the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan[set to end on October 23, 2015], an execution will not be subject to review as an Obvious Error or Catastrophic Error pursuant to paragraph (c) or (d) of this Rule if it occurred while the underlying security was in a "Limit State" or "Straddle State," as defined in the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan[to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Limit Up-Limit Down Plan")]. Nothing in this provision shall prevent such execution from being reviewed on an Official's own motion pursuant to sub-paragraph (c)(3) of this Rule, or a bust or adjust pursuant to paragraphs (e) through (k) of this Rule.

.02 For purposes of this Rule, to the extent the provisions of this Rule would result in the Exchange applying an adjustment of an erroneous sell transaction to a price lower than the execution price or an erroneous buy transaction to a price higher than the execution price, the Exchange will not adjust or nullify the transaction, but rather, the execution price will stand.

Rule 530. Limit Up-Limit Down

Paragraphs (a)-([i] j) of this Rule shall be in effect during a pilot period to coincide with the pilot period for the Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS, as it may be amended from time to time ("the Plan"), including any extensions to the pilot period for the Plan[and paragraph (j) of this Rule shall be in effect for a pilot period ending October 23, 2015]. The

Exchange will provide the Commission with data and analysis during the duration of this pilot as requested. This Rule establishes procedures to address extraordinary volatility in NMS Stocks (as defined below) and outlines MIAX's Limit Up-Limit Down processing.

(a)-(j) No Change.
